



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	О.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/650,355	355 08/29/2000		Andrea Basso	1999-0522A	8247
26652	7590	08/10/2005		EXAMINER	
AT&T CORP.				HUYNH, SON P	
P.O. BOX				1271217	DAREN AND CREE
MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2611	

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/650,355	BASSO ET AL.					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Son P. Huynh	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	lress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause				
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
i. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-27. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	hed.				
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu .	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>see continuation sheet</u> .							

Continuation of 13.

Applicant argues Sezan teaches away from including a customized advertisement in the output coded video sequence by citing "the knowledge system 90 may invoke a commercial filter 92 to remove commercials (page 9, lines 6-7), and then concludes Sezan and Chen fail to disclose or suggest, either separately or in combination, the coded video sequence output including a customized advertisement (page 9, paragraph 3).

In response, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. Sezan discloses a system that records and presents to the user audio and video information based upon the user's prior viewing and listing habits preference, and personal characteristics, generally referred to as user information, is desirable. In addition, the system may present such information based on the capabilities of the system devices. This permits the system to record desirable information and to customize itself automatically to the user and/or listener (col. 3, lines 55-65). Sezan further discloses the system description scheme may be transported to the source to provide the source with views or other capabilities that the device is capable of using. In response, the source provides the device with image, audio, and/or video content customized or otherwise suitable for the particular device (col. 8, lines 1-15; col. 10, lines 60-65, col. 11, lines 3-67; col. 12, lines 10-16). Thus, the program(38) is already personalized/customized based on user's preference, device preference. The commercial filter is invoked only to further remove commercial in the customized program(s) at a specific time when the user does not have time to watch all customized program (s). Sezan further discloses the program (38) also comprises commercial, or summary information, highlights, key frame of the game, program (col. 8, lines 30-55; col. 9, lines 55-67). Thus, the Sezan does not teach away from including a customized advertisement since the claimed feature of "coded video sequence output including a customized advertisement" is broadly met by personalized/customized program(s) 38 including commercial, highlights, key frames, or summary information for the program.

For the above reason, rejections on claims 1-27 are analyzed as discussed in the Final Office Action mailed on May 19, 2005

CHRISTOPHER GRANT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

2